

#### Listening Learning Leading

### **Record of individual Cabinet member decision**

Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

Decision made by	Councillor Robin Bennett, Cabinet Member for Economic		
Key decision?	<ul> <li>Development and Regeneration</li> <li>No, as per paragraph 20 (a) of the South Oxfordshire District</li> <li>Council Constitution</li> </ul>		
	Acceptance of the government grant will not commit the council to any other additional unbudgeted expenditure over and above the £125,160 received.		
Date of decision (same as date form signed)	13 July 2020		
Name and job title of officer requesting the decision	Melanie Smans, Economic Development & Active Communities Manager		
Officer contact details	Tel: 07801 203542 Email: melanie.smans@southandvale.gov.uk		
Decision	To approve the project plan to spend the Reopening the High Street Safely Fund (Appendix A). It is proposed that the part time Economic Development Lead – Vale Towns is employed an additional day per week to lead the delivery of a district-wide approach to reopening the High Streets. The officer will partner with the Environmental Health Team, South market towns and large villages, as well as the Oxfordshire County Council to deliver projects that encourage residents to safely return to the High streets; encourage businesses to reopen in accordance with government guidelines, and to promote the safety measures put in place as part of the Oxfordshire County Council Active Travel Fund project.		
Reasons for decision	The Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) launched the Reopening the High Street Safely Fund to support the safe reopening of high streets and commercial areas. The European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) grant funding will allow local authorities to put in place additional measures to establish a safe trading environment for businesses and customers, particularly in high streets, through measures that extend to the end of March 2021. The South Oxfordshire District Council has been awarded a one-off grant of £125,160. The fund guidance outlines that funding can be used for four types of activity:		

	<ol> <li>Develop an action plan for how the local authority may begin to safely reopen the local economy.</li> <li>Communications and marketing activity for residents to ensure the safe and successful reopening of the local economy.</li> <li>Communications and marketing activity for businesses to ensure the safe and successful reopening of the local economy.</li> <li>Temporary public realm changes to ensure that reopening of local economies can be managed successfully and safely.</li> </ol>	
	The Guidance also outlines the three types of activities that cannot be funded:	
	<ol> <li>Activity that provides no additionality- only new initiatives and projects, or new temporary posts, will be funded.</li> <li>Capital expenditure -It can support some temporary changes to the physical environment, but those changes should not last beyond 12 months, or until social distancing is no longer required.</li> <li>Grants to businesses</li> </ol>	
	The proposed project plan was developed in consultation with Town and large parish councils. The councils were keen for SODC to lead and coordinate the delivery of the projects, in consultation with them. MHCLG does not approve the project plan – it is a district council owned document used to provide clarity to town and parish councils about how the funding will be spent and the timings of delivery. Should major changes be required to the project plan, a revised plan will be submitted for approval via appropriate council approval process.	
Alternative options	Nil	
rejected Legal implications	Grant Funding Agreements will be put in place between the Cities and Local Growth Unit (CLGU) and each local authority. The Funding Agreement will be tailored to local authorities and the specific activities covered by the Fund, which will take place over a shorter duration than a typical ERDF project.	
Financial implications	There will be no financial implications for the council as the guidance states 'The costs associated with administering this grant will also be eligible for reimbursement up to four percent of the total grant value. This four percent would be taken directly from the grant itself; no additional funding is being provided to cover these administrative costs'. This will provide £120,153.60 for projects and £5,006.40 for administration costs.	
	Local authorities can spend money on eligible activities from	

	1 June 2020 and then claim it back from CLGU in arrears, expected to be done quarterly. There is a small risk that expenditure incurred is not reimbursed when the claim is assessed by government if it is felt that it is ineligible. This risk will be mitigated by ensuring that expenditure incurred is in line with scheme guidance and full records of the expenditure are maintained.			
Other implications	As the funding is from the ERDF, the specific ERDF branding requirements need to be followed and the reporting requirements are resource intensive. For example, evidence must be retained to demonstrate that any project expenditure is eligible. that costs have been defrayed and that all procurements are awarded in line with the Public Procurement Regulations. If the reporting and branding requirements are not adhered to, there is a risk that claims may be rejected or partially paid. This will be mitigated by ensuring the spend is in line with guidance and ensuring full records are kept.			
Background papers considered	Reopening the High Streets Safely Fund Guidance (May 2020)			
Declarations/conflict of interest? Declaration of other councillor/officer consulted by the Cabinet member?	Nil			
List consultees		Name	Outcome	Date
	Ward councillors	NA		
	Legal	Pat Connell	Support – advise it is not a key decision	25/6/2020
	Finance	Kathy Merritt	Support	02/07/2020
	Human resources	NA		
	Sustainability	NA		
	Diversity and equality	NA		
	Communications	Andy Roberts	Support	29/06/2020
	Senior Management Team		Support	08/07/2020
<b>Confidential decision?</b> If so, under which exempt category?	No			
Call-in waived by Scrutiny Committee chairman?	No			

Has this been discussed by Cabinet members?	Yes – discussions with cabinet member for economic development and regeneration	
Cabinet portfolio holder's signature To confirm the decision as set out in this notice.	SignatureCouncillor Robin Bennett Date13 July 2020	

## ONCE SIGNED, THIS FORM MUST BE HANDED TO DEMOCRATIC SERVICES IMMEDIATELY.

For Democratic Services office use only				
Form received	Date: 13 July 2020	Time: 16:20		
Date published to all councillors	Date: 13 July 2020			
Call-in deadline	Not applicable			

#### **Guidance notes**

- 1. This form must be completed by the lead officer who becomes the contact officer. The lead officer is responsible for ensuring that the necessary internal consultees have signed it off, including the chief executive. The lead officer must then seek the Cabinet portfolio holder's agreement and signature.
- Once satisfied with the decision, the Cabinet portfolio holder must hand-sign and date the form and return it to the lead officer who should send it to Democratic Services immediately to allow the call-in period to commence. Tel. 01235 422520 or extension 2520. Email: <u>democratic.services@southandvale.gov.uk</u>
- 3. Democratic Services will then publish the decision to the website (unless it is confidential) and send it to all councillors to commence the call-in period (five clear working days) if it is a 'key' decision (see the definition of a 'key' decision below). A key decision cannot be implemented until the call-in period expires. The call-in procedure can be found in the council's constitution, part 4, under the Scrutiny Committee procedure rules.
- 4. Before implementing a key decision, the lead officer is responsible for checking with Democratic Services that the decision has not been called in.
- 5. If a key decision has been called in, Democratic Services will notify the lead officer and decision-maker. This call-in puts the decision on hold.
- 6. Democratic Services will liaise with the Scrutiny Committee chairman over the date of the call-in debate. The Cabinet portfolio holder will be requested to attend the Scrutiny Committee meeting to answer the committee's questions.
- 7. The Scrutiny Committee may:
  - refer the decision back to the Cabinet portfolio holder for reconsideration or
  - refer the matter to Council with an alternative set of proposals (where the final decision rests with full Council) or
  - accept the Cabinet portfolio holder's decision, in which case it can be implemented immediately.

# Key decisions: assessing whether a decision should be classified as 'key'

The South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse District Councils' Constitutions now have the same definition of a key decision:

#### A key decision is a decision of the Cabinet, an individual Cabinet member, or an officer acting under delegated powers, which is likely:

(a) to incur expenditure, make savings or to receive income of more than £75,000;

- (b) to award a revenue or capital grant of over £25,000; or
- (c) to agree an action that, in the view of the chief executive or relevant head of service, would be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising more than one ward in the area of the council.

Key decisions are subject to the scrutiny call-in procedure; non-key decisions are not and can be implemented immediately.

In assessing whether a decision should be classified as 'key', you should consider:

- (a) Will the expenditure, savings or income total more than £75,000 across all financial years?
- (b) Will the grant award to one person or organisation be more that £25,000 across all financial years?
- (c) Does the decision impact on more than one district council ward? And if so, is the impact significant? If residents or property affected by the decision is in one ward but is close to the border of an adjacent ward, it may have a significant impact on that second ward, e.g. through additional traffic, noise, light pollution, odour. Examples of significant impacts on two or more wards are:
  - Decisions to spend Didcot Garden Town funds (significant impact on more than one ward)
  - Changes to the household waste collection policy (affects all households in the district)
  - Reviewing a housing strategy (could have a significant impact on residents in many wards)
  - Adopting a supplementary planning document for a redevelopment site (could significantly affect more than one ward) or a new design guide (affects all wards)
  - Decisions to build new or improve existing leisure facilities (used by residents of more than one ward)

The overriding principle is that before 'key' decisions are made, they must be published in the Cabinet Work Programme for 28 calendar days. Classifying a decision as non-key when it should be a key decision could expose the decision to challenge and delay its implementation.